

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

# Fn Traits

Florian "Florob" Zeitz

2023-03-01

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Reinventing the wheel
- 3 Let's have an Argument
- 4 Closures
- 5 Call me maybe?

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

**1** Motivation

2 Reinventing the wheel

3 Let's have an Argument

4 Closures

5 Call me maybe?

# Functions as traits?

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- Sometimes we want to be generic over a callable
  - Transform data
  - Map over a slice
  - Perform an action in a critical section
  - ...
- Function pointers don't quite cut it
  - Point only to code
  - Can not reference data/environment

⇒ Don't support (all) closures
- Can avoid dynamic dispatch

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

1 Motivation

**2** Reinventing the wheel

3 Let's have an Argument

4 Closures

5 Call me maybe?

# Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Greeter;  
2  
3 impl Greeter {  
4     fn call(..) {  
5         println!("Hello Rust");  
6     }  
7 }
```

What is the most logical choice  
for call's argument?

- A** `self`
- B** `&self`
- C** `&mut self`
- D** Nothing (not a method)

# Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Greeter;  
2  
3 impl Greeter {  
4     fn call(..) {  
5         println!("Hello Rust");  
6     }  
7 }
```

What is the most logical choice  
for call's argument?

- A `self`
- B `&self`
- C `&mut self`
- D Nothing (not a method)

# Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1  struct Greeter;  
2  
3  impl Greeter {  
4      fn call(&self) {  
5          println!("Hello Rust");  
6      }  
7  
8      fn call_mut(&mut self) {  
9          self.call()  
10     }  
11  
12     fn call_once(self) {  
13         self.call()  
14     }  
15 }
```

- We could implement any variant
- Even in terms of the `&self` one
- `&mut self` can be re-borrowed as `&self`
- `self` can be borrowed as `&self`



# More Useful Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Greeter(String);
2
3 impl Greeter {
4     fn call(&self) {
5         println!("Hello {}", self.0);
6     }
7
8     fn call_mut(&mut self) {
9         self.call()
10    }
11
12    fn call_once(self) {
13        self.call()
14    }
15 }
```

- What if we attach data to our **struct**?
- As long as we only use it by **&T** reference nothing changes

# Fibonacci

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Fib(u64, u64);
2
3 impl Fib {
4     fn call(..) -> u64 {
5         let res = self.0;
6         self.0 = self.1;
7         self.1 += res;
8         res
9     }
10 }
```

What is the most logical choice  
for call's argument?

- A self
- B &self
- C &mut self
- D Nothing (not a method)

# Fibonacci

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Fib(u64, u64);
2
3 impl Fib {
4     fn call(..) -> u64 {
5         let res = self.0;
6         self.0 = self.1;
7         self.1 += res;
8         res
9     }
10 }
```

What is the most logical choice  
for call's argument?

- A self
- B &self
- C &mut self
- D Nothing (not a method)

# Fibonacci

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1  struct Fib(u64, u64);
2
3  impl Fib {
4      fn call_mut(&mut self) -> u64 {
5          let res = self.0;
6          self.0 = self.1;
7          self.1 += res;
8          res
9      }
10
11     fn call_once(mut self) -> u64 {
12         self.call_mut()
13     }
14 }
```

- Obviously can't do `&self` anymore
- `self` can be borrowed as `&mut self`

# Nonce

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Nonce(Vec<u8>);  
2  
3 impl Nonce {  
4     fn call(..) -> Vec<u8> {  
5         self.0  
6     }  
7 }
```

What is the most logical choice  
for call's argument?

- A self
- B &self
- C &mut self
- D Nothing (not a method)

# Nonce

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Nonce(Vec<u8>);  
2  
3 impl Nonce {  
4     fn call(..) -> Vec<u8> {  
5         self.0  
6     }  
7 }
```

What is the most logical choice  
for call's argument?

- A self
- B &self
- C &mut self
- D Nothing (not a method)

# Nonce

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Nonce(Vec<u8>);  
2  
3 impl Nonce {  
4     fn call_once(self) -> Vec<u8> {  
5         self.0  
6     }  
7 }
```

- Has to take `self`
- Moves its inner value out once

# Some Traits

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

We have seen there is no one size fits all solution.  
We can define a set of traits though:

```
1 trait FnOnce {  
2     type Output;  
3     fn call_once(self) -> Self::Output;  
4 }  
5  
6 trait FnMut: FnOnce {  
7     fn call_mut(&mut self) -> Self::Output;  
8 }  
9  
10 trait Fn: FnMut {  
11     fn call(&self) -> Self::Output;  
12 }
```



Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Reinventing the wheel
- 3 Let's have an Argument**
- 4 Closures
- 5 Call me maybe?

# Arguments

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- Aren't we missing something?
- With our current traits we can return any type, but take no arguments
- We want to take an arbitrary number of parameters with varying types (variadic)
- Rust has no variadic generics

# The Real Traits

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 trait FnOnce<Args: Tuple> {
2     type Output;
3     extern "rust-call" fn call_once(self, args: Args)
4         -> Self::Output;
5 }
6
7 trait FnMut<Args: Tuple>: FnOnce<Args> {
8     extern "rust-call" fn call_mut(&mut self, args: Args)
9         -> Self::Output;
10 }
11
12 trait Fn<Args: Tuple>: FnMut<Args> {
13     extern "rust-call" fn call(&self, args: Args)
14         -> Self::Output;
15 }
```

These traits are all **unstable** to implement.

# The Catch

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- We can't `impl` these traits ourselves
- Closures automatically implement them
- Functions automatically implement them
- We can't use these traits as bounds directly

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Reinventing the wheel
- 3 Let's have an Argument
- 4 Closures**
- 5 Call me maybe?

# Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 let mut greeter =  
2     || println!("Hello Rust!");  
3  
4 greeter();  
5 greeter.call(());  
6 greeter.call_mut(());  
7 greeter.call_once();
```

- Desugars to the same thing as our first example
- Except it implements the `Fn` traits
- All possible ones

# More Useful Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 let name = "Cologne".to_string();
2 let mut greeter = || {
3     println!("Hello {}!", name)
4 };
5
6 greeter();
7 greeter.call(());
8 greeter.call_mut(());
9 greeter.call_once(());
```

- This is the same as our second example.
- Or is it?

# More Useful Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 fn greeter(  
2     name: String  
3 ) -> impl Fn() {  
4     || println!("Hello {}!", name)  
5 }  
6  
7 let mut greeter =  
8     greeter("Cologne".into());  
9  
10 greeter();  
11 greeter.call(());  
12 greeter.call_mut(());  
13 greeter.call_once();
```

- closure may outlive the current function, but it borrows `name`, which is owned by the current function

- Wait... borrows?



# More Useful Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1  struct Greeter {
2      name: &String
3  }
4
5  // Pseudocode!
6  impl Fn for Greeter {
7      fn call(&self) {
8          println!(
9              "Hello {}!",
10             self.name,
11         );
12     }
13 }
```

- This is the actual desugaring
- Closures capture variables based on their usage
- Capturing means taking a reference, or storing (moving) the value

# More Useful Greeter

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 fn greeter(  
2     name: String  
3 ) -> impl Fn() {  
4     move || {  
5         println!("Hello {name}!");  
6     }  
7 }  
8  
9 let mut greeter =  
10     greeter("Cologne".into());  
11  
12 greeter();  
13 greeter.call();  
14 greeter.call_mut();  
15 greeter.call_once();
```

- Actually desugars to our second example
- **move** enforces moving the values captured from the environment
- Closures can still contain references, in case the captured value is a reference

# Fibonacci

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 let mut a = 1;
2 let mut b = 1;
3 let mut fib = move || {
4     let res = a;
5     a = b;
6     b += res;
7     res
8 };
9
10 fib();
11 // error: `Fn` is not implemented
12 // fib.call(());
13 fib.call_mut(());
14 fib.call_once(());
```

- Desugars to our Fibonacci example
- Implementing `Fn` is not possible, so the compiler doesn't

# Nonce

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 let n = vec![4, 8, 15, 16, 23, 42];
2 let nonce = || n;
3
4 nonce();
5 // error: `Fn` is not implemented
6 // nonce.call(());
7 // error: `FnMut` is not implemented
8 // nonce.call_mut(());
9 // error: value has been moved ;)
10 nonce.call_once();
```

- Desugars to our Nonce example
- Implementing `Fn` and `FnMut` is not possible, so the compiler doesn't
- Behaves the same with and without `move`, closure has to move the value to return it

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Reinventing the wheel
- 3 Let's have an Argument
- 4 Closures
- 5 Call me maybe?**

# *Fn Trait Bounds*

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

- We *cannot* use the unstable `Fn` traits as bounds directly
- But there is sugar that we *can* use
- And it conveniently looks like a function signature
  - `Fn(u32) -> u64`
  - `FnMut(&str) -> i32`
  - `FnOnce(u8) -> String`

# Map One

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Abstraction<T>(T);
2
3 impl<T> Abstraction<T> {
4     fn map<U, F>(self, f: F) -> Abstraction<U>
5         where F: FnOnce(T) -> U
6     {
7         Abstraction(f(self.0))
8     }
9 }
```

While it may seem strange at first `FnOnce` is the most general trait bound. Everything can be called at least once.

# Map Two

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 struct Abstraction2<T>(T, T);
2
3 impl<T> Abstraction2<T> {
4     fn map<U, F>(self, mut f: F) -> Abstraction2<U>
5         where F: FnMut(T) -> U
6     {
7         Abstraction2(f(self.0), f(self.1))
8     }
9 }
```

When you need to call the function more than once `FnMut` is the next best option.



# Parallel for-each

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 fn for_each_parallel<T, F>(slice: &mut [T], f: F)
2 where
3     F: Fn(&mut T) + Send + Sync,
4     T: Send,
5 {
6     std::thread::scope(|scope| {
7         for item in slice {
8             scope.spawn(|| f(item));
9         }
10    })
11 }
```

When the callable needs is shared we need to restrict ourselves to `Fn`.

# Give me five

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 fn give5() -> impl Fn() -> u64 {  
2     || 5  
3 }  
4  
5 let dave_brubeck = give5();  
6 assert_eq!(5, dave_brubeck());
```

When returning a closure `Fn` is most general since it allows calling the object multiple times and behind any kind of reference.

# Give me 5n

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

```
1 fn counter() -> impl FnMut() -> u64 {  
2     let mut n = 0;  
3     move || {  
4         n += 1;  
5         5 * n  
6     }  
7 }
```

Depending on the traits the closure can actually implement, we fall back to `FnMut` or `FnOnce`.

Fn Traits

Florob

Motivation

Reinventing  
the wheel

Let's have an  
Argument

Closures

Call me  
maybe?

Questions

Thank you for your attention.  
Any questions?



<https://babelmonkeys.de/~florob/talks/RC-2023-03-01-fn-traits.pdf>