Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questions

Fn Traits

Florian "Florob" Zeitz

2018-09-05

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

maybe?

Questions

Reinventing the wheel

2 Let's have an Argument

3 Closures

4 Call me maybe?

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questions

1 Reinventing the wheel

- 2 Let's have an Argument
- 3 Closures
- 4 Call me maybe?

```
En Traits
   Florob
Reinventina
the wheel
```

```
struct Greeter;
2
  impl Greeter {
     fn call(...) {
4
       println!("Hello Rust");
5
6
7
```

What is the most logical choice for calls argument?

```
A self
```

```
B &self
```

Nothing (not a method)

```
Fn Traits
Florob
Reinventing the wheel
Let's have an
```

```
Let's have an
Argument
Closures
```

Call me maybe?

Questions

```
impl Greeter;

impl Greeter {
   fn call(...) {
     println!("Hello Rust");
}
```

What is the most logical choice for calls argument?

```
A self
```

```
B &self
```

Nothing (not a method)

```
En Traits
             struct Greeter;
  Florob
          2
             impl Greeter {
Reinventina
the wheel
               fn call(&self) {
          4
                 println!("Hello Rust");
          6
          7
          8
               fn call mut(&mut self) {
                  self.call()
          Q
         10
         11
               fn call once(self) {
         12
         13
                  self.call()
         14
         15
```

- We can implement all variants
- Smut self can be re-borrowed
 as Self
- self can be borrowed as &self

```
En Traits
             struct Greeter(String);
  Florob
         2
Reinventina
             impl Greeter {
the wheel
               fn call(&self) {
                 println!("Hello {}", self.0);
         6
         7
         8
               fn call mut(&mut self) {
                 self.call()
         Q
         10
         11
               fn call once(self) {
         12
         13
                 self.call()
         14
         15
```

- What if we attach data to Our struct?
- Makes no difference as long as we only use it by &T reference

```
En Traits
  Florob
Reinventina
              struct Fib (u64, u64);
the wheel
          2
             impl Fib {
                fn call(...) -> u64 {
                  let res = self.0;
          6
                  self.0 = self.1;
                  self.1 += res;
          8
                  res
          9
         10
```

What is the most logical choice for calls argument?

```
A self
```

- B &self
- C &mut_self
- Nothing (not a method)

```
En Traits
  Florob
Reinventina
              struct Fib (u64, u64);
the wheel
          2
             impl Fib {
                fn call(...) -> u64 {
                  let res = self.0;
          6
                  self.0 = self.1;
                  self.1 += res;
          8
                  res
          9
         10
```

What is the most logical choice for calls argument?

```
A self
```

- B &self
 - C &mut self
- Nothing (not a method)

```
En Traits
             struct Fib (u64, u64);
  Florob
          2
Reinventina
             impl Fib {
the wheel
               fn call_mut(&mut self) -> u64 {
                 let res = self.0;
                 self.0 = self.1:
          6
                 self.1 += res;
          8
                 res
          9
         10
               fn call once(mut self) -> u64 {
         11
         12
                 self.call mut()
         13
         14
```

- Obviously can't do &self anymore
- self can be borrowed as
 mut self

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

2

5

6

7

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

```
struct Nonce(Vec<u8>);

impl Fib {
   fn call(...) -> Vec<u8> {
      self.0
   }
}
```

What is the most logical choice for calls argument?

- A self
- B &self
 - &mut_self
- Nothing (not a method)

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

```
Let's have an
Argument
```

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

```
impl Fib {
   fn call(...) -> Vec<u8> {
      self.0
}
```

What is the most logical choice for calls argument?

- A self
- B &self
- C &mut self
- Nothing (not a method)

```
En Traits
```

Florob

Reinventina the wheel

2

4

5

6 7

```
struct Nonce(Vec<u8>);
impl Fib {
  fn call once(self) -> Vec<u8> {
    self.0
```

- Has to take self
- Moves its inner value out once

Some Traits

```
En Traits
             We've seen there is no one size fits all solution. We can define some traits
  Florob
            though:
Reinventina
the wheel
```

```
trait FnOnce {
    type Output;
     fn call_once(self) -> Self::Output;
5
   trait FnMut: FnOnce {
     fn call mut(&mut self) -> Self::Output;
8
   trait Fn: FnMut {
     fn call(&self) -> Self::Output;
11
12
```

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questions

1 Reinventing the wheel

2 Let's have an Argument

3 Closures

4 Call me maybe?

Arguments

Fn Traits

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questic

- Aren't we missing something?
- With our current traits we can return any Type, but take no arguments
- We want to take an arbitrary number of parameters with varying types (variadic)
- Rust has no variadic generics

The Real Traits

En Traits

Florob

Argument

```
trait FnOnce<Args> {
               type Output;
               extern "rust-call" fn call_once(self, args: Args) ->

    Self::Output;

Let's have an
          4
          5
            trait FnMut<Args>: FnOnce<Args> {
               extern "rust-call" fn call_mut(&mut self, args: Args) ->

    Self::Output;

          8
          Q
            trait Fn<Args>: FnMut<Args> {
         10
               extern "rust-call" fn call(&self, args: Args) -> Self::Output;
         11
         12
          These traits are all unstable to implement.
                                                               4 D > 4 D > 4 D > 4 D > 3
                                                                                   14/30
```

The Catch

Fn Traits

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

- We can't impl these traits ourselves
- Closures automatically implement them
- Functions automatically implement them
- We can't directly use these traits as bounds
- There is sugar for Fn trait bounds

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questions

1 Reinventing the wheel

2 Let's have an Argument

3 Closures

4 Call me maybe?

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

3

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

- Desugars to the same thing as our first example
- Except it implements the Fn traits
- All possible ones

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

the wheel

Let's have an

Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

- This is the same as our second example.
- Or is it?

```
En Traits
  Florob
            fn greeter (
              name: String
            ) -> impl Fn() {
              | println!("Hello {}!", name)
         5
Closures
         6
            let mut greeter =
              greeter("Cologne".into());
         9
        10
            greeter();
           greeter.call(());
            greeter.call_mut(());
            greeter.call_once(());
```

- closure may outlive the current function, but it borrows 'name', which is owned by the current function
- Wait... borrows?

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

```
Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument 3 }

Closures 4

Call me maybe? 6 impl Fn for Greeter {
    fn call(&mut self) {
        println!("Hello {}!", self.name);
    }

In a }
```

- This is the actual desugaring
- Closures capture variables based on their usage
- Capturing means taking a reference, or storing (moving) the value

```
En Traits
             fn greeter (
  Florob
               name: String
            ) -> impl Fn() {
               move | | println! ("Hello
              \hookrightarrow {}!", name)
Closures
         5
         6
             let mut greeter =
               greeter("Cologne".into());
         8
         9
            greeter();
         10
            greeter.call(());
            greeter.call mut(());
            greeter.call once(());
```

- Actually desugars to our second example
- move enforces moving the values captured from the environment
- Closures can still contain references, in case the captured value is a reference

```
En Traits
            let mut a = 1;
  Florob
            let mut b = 1;
            let mut fib = move | | {
              let res = a;
              a = b;
Closures
              b += res:
              res
         8
         9
            fib();
         10
            // error: `Fn` is not implemented
            // fib.call(());
            fib.call mut(());
         1.3
            fib.call once(());
```

- Desugars to our Fibonacci example
- Implementing Fn is not possible, so the compiler doesn't

Fn Traits

Florob

```
Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questions

1 let n = vec! [4, 8, 15, 16, 23, 42];

let nonce = || n;

3 nonce();

5 // error: `Fn` is not implemented

6 // nonce.call(());

7 // error: `FnMut` is not implemented

8 // nonce.call_mut(());

9 // error: value has been moved;)

10 nonce.call_once(());
```

- Desugars to our Nonce example
- Implementing Fn and FnMut is not possible, so the compiler doesn't
- Behaves the same with and without move, closure has to move the value to return it

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questions

1 Reinventing the wheel

2 Let's have an Argument

Closures

4 Call me maybe?

Fn Trait Bounds

Fn Traits

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have ar Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

■ Can't use the unstable Fn traits as bounds directly

- Dut there is a courth at the court
- But there is sugar that we can use
- And it conveniently looks like a function signature
 - Fn (u32) -> u64
 - FnMut (&str) -> i32
 - FnOnce(u8)_-> String

Map One

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

```
impl<T> Abstraction<T>(T);

impl<T> Abstraction<T> {
   fn map<U, F> (self, f: F) -> Abstraction<U>
   where F: FnOnce(T) -> U
   {
     Abstraction(f(self.0))
   }
}
```

While it may seem strange at first Fnonce is the most general trait bound. Everything can be called at least once.

Map Two

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have ar Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questio

```
struct Abstraction2<T>(T, T);

impl<T> Abstraction2<T> {
   fn map<U, F>(self, mut f: F) -> Abstraction2<U>
   where F: FnMut(T) -> U
   {
      Abstraction2(f(self.0), f(self.1))
      }
}
```

When you need to call the function more than once FnMut is the next best option. Choosing Fn as a bound is rare as it gives the calling function almost no additional options.

Give me five

```
Fn Traits
```

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have ar Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

```
fn give5() -> impl Fn() -> u64 {
    __| 5
}

let dave_brubeck = give5();
assert_eq!(5, dave_brubeck());
```

When returning a closure Fn is most general since it allows calling the object multiple times and behind any kind of reference.

Give me 5n

```
Fn Traits
```

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Question

```
fn counter() -> impl FnMut() -> u64 {
   let mut n = 0;
   move || {
        n += 1;
        5 * n
   }
}
```

Depending on the traits the closure can actually implement, we fall back to FnMut or FnOnce.

Florob

Reinventing the wheel

Let's have an Argument

Closures

Call me maybe?

Questions

Thank you for your attention. Any questions?



https://babelmonkeys.de/~florob/talks/RC-2018-09-05-fn-traits.pdf